



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,986	09/898,986 07/03/2001		Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/163003/US3375D1D1	3065
26171	7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
1425 K STREET, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11TH FLOOR					TATERNOMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500				2813	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

William G. Hughes, Jr. Esquire Fish & Richardson P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W., 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005-3500

DEC 2 0 2004

In re Application of Yamazaki et al.

Application Number: 09/898,986

Filed: July 3, 2001

Attorney Docket Number: 07977-163003

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND

METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME

DECISION ON

PETITION TO

WITHDRAW

ABANDONMENT

This decision is in response to petitioner's "PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 TO REVIVE UNAVOIDABLY ABANDONED APPLICATION" filed 18 December 2003 and resubmitted 20 July 2004, to invoke the supervisory authority of the Director of the USPTO to review the action of the examiner in the above-identified application. The petition is before the Director of Technology Center 2800 for review.

The petition is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated below.

The petitioner received an Advisory Action from the Office on July 23, 2003. A pursuant telephone interview with the examiner resulted in a decision to further review the merits of the outstanding Office Action. The application was unavailable to the examiner during the file electronic conversion process. A Notice of Abandonment was issued for the application on November 26, 2003 when the application resurfaced. Although the petitioner is responsible for maintaining the status of the application, no dialogue could be held because of the unavailability of the application. The petitioner despite his diligence was not afforded the opportunity to address the merits of the application in a timely manner.

Sharon A. Gibson, Director

Technology Center 2800 - Semiconductors, Electrical and

Optical Systems and Components